Appendix 1

	PLACE SCRUTINY REVIEW OF ROAD REPAIRS – ACTION PLAN				
SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION		DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE AND ACTION PLAN	Update Notes	TIMESCALE	
R1.	The Council examines how it could better communicate with residents on highways maintenance policies and practices, and the Committee would welcome the opportunity to work with Officers to achieve this (e.g. how the Council achieves value for money).	The Department has recently produced a Members' Guide to Highway Maintenance which the Review Board reported as being very useful. The Department would welcome the further opportunity to work with a sub-group of the Place Scrutiny Committee to advise on improving communications with those Members, parish and town councils. The Department would particularly welcome working with Members to expand the feedback from residents to help broaden our benchmarking data as the Service.	A Members Guide to Highways was successfully launched and shared with all Councillors. Following this a public version was produced and has been published on the East Sussex Highways (EHS) website. Feedback from Members suggests they found this useful A Scrutiny Reference Group was set up and met to discuss improvements around communications in particular – • Updates to Highway Website • Social Media • Improvement to the information shared with residents about upcoming works • Feedback Surveys Our planned works are published on the website and through our social media channels. A greater level of detail is now also provided to residents about our works including what and why we are doing the work. Feedback surveys continue to be used to gather satisfaction information to improve what we do. These conversations will continue with the Scrutiny Reference Group tasked with focusing on the Highways Re-Procurement Project. We continue to sign-post Members, residents and parishes to the wealth of information on the EHS website	On-going	
R2.	Officers conduct a pilot into the feasibility of introducing a new approach to repair all neighbouring potholes at the same time, within a given distance of a category 2 or 3 intervention standard pothole	Moving away from the current approach to the repair of potholes risks undermining the Council's Asset Management Strategy. It would also increase the overall cost of the highways service. Moving from an asset management approach would	Recognising Members concerns to repair adjacent potholes, but that don't meet our maintenance intervention criteria, our Stewards have continued to flag these as "advisory repairs" and we continue to repair larger areas around intervention level potholes where necessary and funding permits. Since the review we have undertaken nearly 400 larger advisory road repairs.	Complete	

using the funding allocated from the Department for Transport (DfT) pothole fund for the pilot.

impact outcomes and risk losing the Council's Department for Transport Band 3 status and associated incentive element of its funding.

While we recognise the concerns of the board the Department works within a finite budget, at a time when financial resources are constrained, and it is more cost effective and best practice to invest in the planned resurfacing of roads to prevent potholes forming, rather than to repair potholes on a reactive basis.

The Department already addresses those neighbouring potholes that do not meet the Council's intervention criteria. When identifying a pothole(s) at intervention level the Highway Stewards also make recommendations for larger-scale patch repairs of adjacent potholes, where it is appropriate. Whilst not in the same timescale, these Steward recommendations are added to the Council's patching programme and repairs are carried out on a prioritised, planned basis.

The approach to the repair of potholes accords with industry best practice and Department for Transport (DfT) guidance. It also supports the Councils statutory defence of claims under S58 of the Highways Act as well as Council Policy that sets out very clear intervention criteria and repair timescales.

In addition, we have introduced an improved patching repair service (Proprietary name: Velocity Patching) as part of our preventative maintenance programme. Since the review we have addressed over 6000 repairs.

		The Department recommends continuing with the current approach to repairing those safety defects that trigger the current intervention criteria and within the Council's prescribed timescales.		
R3.	Scrutiny should be consulted on the use of any future one-off highways funding from Government, before work has been programmed via a Review Board of the Committee.	Scrutiny Committee has oversight of all highways expenditure as all investment in highways, both base and one-off funding, is spent in accordance with the Asset Management Plan, which is available for review by Place Scrutiny. The timing of DfT announcements of pothole and other one-off funding does not always allow for consultation with Scrutiny Committee. The most recent funding of £4.7m was announced by the DfT shortly before Christmas, to spend before the end of the 2018/19 financial year. The timescales for spending this money is extremely short, but the Council was able to allocate funding to those highest priority schemes across the county from its Asset Plan.		Ongoing
R4.	That the existing level of capital investment in roads through planned maintenance and the Asset Management approach is maintained and if possible increased, as this is the most cost effective way of repairing potholes.	The Department welcomes this recommendation to support the Council's Asset Management approach to highway maintenance.	We continue to invest in planned maintenance to reduce the potential for potholes to appear and we have continued to meet our road condition targets set by the Council.	Completed

			Performance measure Percentage of Principal roads requiring maintenance Percentage of Non Principal roads requiring maintenance Percentage of Unclassified	Target 2019/20 8%	Outturn 2019/20 5%	
R5.	The Council explores the possibility of identifying additional funding to improve the condition of pavements, via existing sources of funding and partnership working.	The Council invests £1.4m pa in pavement maintenance. Any additional funding would need to be provided within the current funding envelope and therefore an increase over and above the £1.4m would reduce funding available for other highway related improvements. Any additional funding for improving the condition of pavements would be explored within the Reconciling Policy, Performance and Resources (RPPR) context.	In 2019 the Council allocated pavements. Since then we had 47.4km (29.5 miles) of footwards.	ave improved	a total of	
R6.	The condition of the remaining 50% of pavements is surveyed, and a measure of the condition of pavements is developed within the next 2 years, so that their condition can be monitored and the impact of any additional investment can be assessed.	Whilst there is no statutory requirement to do this and report to the DfT as there is with carriageways, the Department agrees with this recommendation and will put in place a condition survey regime to report on the overall condition of pavements across the county within the timescales suggested by the Board.	A full survey has been compined in the County. A three year pair is now being developed for depending on budget available.	rogramme of commencement	footway works	

R7.	The Council considers using its powers to ban parking on pavements and verges in problem areas, as part of regular parking reviews.	The Council already has the ability to introduce, and does introduce pavement and verge parking restrictions and corresponding enforcement. Such restrictions can be requested and considered through the annual parking reviews that are carried out in Lewes, Eastbourne and Hastings where the County Council has civil parking enforcement powers.	The Government is currently consulting on a wider UK restriction on pavement parking. This is due to close 22 November 2020. https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/managing-pavement-parking/pavement-parking-options-for-change Civil Parking Enforcement commenced across Rother District on 29th September and the Council's enforcement contractor is now enforcing parking restrictions across the district.	Ongoing
R8.	Safety defect intervention criteria are defined for the different types of pavement surfacing, and insurance claims for pavements are separately recorded.	The Department considers the existing intervention criteria are appropriate for pavements, irrespective of their makeup. The Department advocates a continuation of its Asset Management Strategy and to tackle defects in pavements through targeted planned maintenance programmes.	We have continued our investment in footways through our planned maintenance programmes. See R5. Our targeted planned maintenance programmes to replace slabbed footways with tarmac surfacing reduces hazards, levels of claims and maintenance costs however, this approach is not universally popular.	Ongoing
R9.	Increase the amount of sampling and inspections to 20% to monitor and assure the quality of road repairs or reinstatements, and the work carried out prior to resurfacing, particularly those carried out by utility companies.	The Department considers it meets its legal responsibilities under the Traffic Management Act and ensures adequate quality of workmanship from its current level of sampling and inspections. The management of utility workings through the Council's Permitting scheme and the inspection of those works are carried out by the Council's Highway Maintenance contractor. The highway maintenance contract is outcome based and is governed by the requirements of the Traffic Management Act which stipulates levels of sampling and inspection.	We continue to closely monitor the performance of the Council's highway maintenance contractor. This includes East Sussex County Council (ESCC) Supervisors who inspect a proportion of completed works for quality and compliance with the specified works requirements. Where defects are found they are rectified at the contractors cost. However, we have also been working with the contractor to eliminate defects during the works which reduces their costs, further disruption and improves public satisfaction and the Council's reputation.	Completed

		The existing sampling and inspection		
		regime comprises a number of		
		different inspections at different		
		timescales following a utility company		
		repair, as well as taking core samples		
		from finished reinstatements. The		
		Traffic Management Act also enables		
		financial penalties to be applied for compliance failures. The DfT is		
		currently consulting on extending the		
		period utility companies are		
		responsible for their repairs after		
		undertaking works.		
		The Compliance and Performance		
		Team and Contract Supervisors carry		
		out regular audits of the council's		
		contractor. They collect performance		
		data and evidence of compliance and		
		non-compliance with the contract		
		requirements. They develop specific actions and plans to correct and		
		improve performance. This		
		information is shared with Members		
		annually and the Place Scrutiny		
		Committee will be updated on the		
		year 3 performance later this year.		
		•		
R10.	Officers develop a work	The Department recognises the	We have undertaken over 2500 drainage investigations all	Completed
	programme to complete the	importance of completing its	of which been recorded and mapped on our Map 16	
	Council's knowledge of the	knowledge of the highway drainage	system. These have focussed on both historical and more	
	highway drainage network,	infrastructure which is undertaken in	recent flooding issues, resulting in the completion of over	
	including determining the cost and timeframe for this work,	parallel with its aims to resolve	500 drainage improvement schemes. We continue to investigate and repair drainage issues to achieve our	
	focussing initially on utilising	flooding hot-spots.	corporate target of ensuring 98% of our 100,000 gullies	
	the remaining additional capital	The recent increase in funding for	free flowing.	
	investment to gain knowledge	highway drainage is welcomed and	in a manning.	
	of parts of the network that	drainage engineers are using the		
	require repair and replacement	increased level of resource to		
	as a priority. The work	complete a far greater number of		
	programme is to be reported to			

	the Scrutiny Committee in September 2019.	investigations and resolve flooding problems at the same time. The Department will report progress to Scrutiny Committee in September 2019.		
R11.	Joint work is undertaken with District and Borough Councils to improve street sweeping, particularly in autumn, to prevent highway gullies and other drainage becoming blocked with leaves and other debris.	The Board heard that there is already a good level of partnership working with Borough and District Councils. Litter picking and street cleansing is a Borough and District Council responsibility and in Wealden, Rother, Hastings and Eastbourne the service is currently carried out by their waste collection contractor. That contract ends in June when a new contractor will take over waste collection and street cleansing in Wealden, Rother and Hastings, whilst in Lewes and Eastbourne the service is being brought back in-house. The Department has been working closely with all five borough and district councils in the lead up to the new arrangements to ensure the outcomes are reflected in these new arrangements.	We continue to work with the District and Borough councils to co-ordinate street cleansing and gully cleansing where it is practical to do so. As an example, we recently completed the annual grass cutting of the A22 Hailsham by-pass which included gully cleansing, channel sweeping, litter picking and sign cleaning/repair all coordinated to take place at the same time. We have also recently commenced discussions with Lewes District Council to potentially co-ordinate street sweeping with weed control.	On-going